

DETECTING GRAVITY MODES IN THE SOLAR 8B NEUTRINO FLUXLÍDIO LOPES^{1,2} AND SYLVAIN TURCK-CHIÈZE³(Dated: August 29, 2014)
Draft version August 29, 2014

ABSTRACT

The detection of gravity modes produced in the solar radiative zone has been a challenge in modern astrophysics for more than 30 yr and their amplitude in the core is not yet determined. In this Letter, we develop a new strategy to look for standing gravity modes through solar neutrino fluxes. We note that due to a resonance effect, the gravity modes of low degree and low order have the largest impact on the 8B neutrino flux. The strongest effect is expected to occur for the dipole mode with radial order 2, corresponding to periods of about 1.5 hr. These standing gravity waves produce temperature fluctuations that are amplified by a factor of 170 in the boron neutrino flux for the corresponding period, in consonance with the gravity modes. From current neutrino observations, we determine that the maximum temperature variation due to the gravity modes in the Sun's core is smaller than 5.8×10^{-4} . This study clearly shows that due to their high sensitivity to the temperature, the 8B neutrino flux time series is an excellent tool to determine the properties of gravity modes in the solar core. Moreover, if gravity mode footprints are discovered in the 8B neutrino flux, this opens a new line of research to probe the physics of the solar core as non-standing gravity waves of higher periods cannot be directly detected by helioseismology but could leave their signature on boron neutrino or on other neutrino fluxes.

Subject headings: neutrinos – Sun: helioseismology – Sun: interior – Sun: oscillations

1. INTRODUCTION

Neutrinos are the most direct evidence of nuclear reactions occurring in the core of the Sun. For two decades now, neutrinos have complemented helioseismology in diagnosing the standard and non-standard structure of the Sun (e.g., [Turck-Chièze & Lopes 1993](#); [Turck-Chièze & Couvidat 2011](#); [Turck-Chièze & Lopes 2012](#)). The last decade have been particularly rich as the statistics obtained with the neutrino detectors has impressively increased together with the knowledge of their oscillation behavior between different species. These facts push us to study whether neutrinos could investigate the dynamics of the solar core even better than helioseismology, even though using neutrinos as solar core probes presents a number of challenges in itself. This is due to the complex physical processes occurring inside the Sun, but also due their still unknown basic properties (e.g., [Gonzalez-Garcia & Maltoni 2008](#)).

In a star like the Sun, it is expected that gravity modes (or g -modes) should affect the evolution of the star by influencing the transport of angular momentum and the transport of energy in the radiative region ([Kumar & Quataert 1997](#); [Zahn et al. 1997](#); [Talon et al. 2002](#); [Mathis et al. 2008](#)). Although their existence is almost certain from a theoretical point of view, their detection is a long-awaited goal of helioseismology (e.g., [Turck-Chièze et al. 2004](#); [García et al. 2007](#)). These modes provide a better diagnostic of the Sun's core for

the sound speed and deep rotation than the more easier observed standing acoustic waves (p-modes), but remain difficult to detect at the surface where only few of them seem to have imprinted the space *GOLF/SOHO* instrument until now.

The high sensitivity of g -modes to the nuclear region is a consequence of their eigenfunctions having large amplitude in the core and low amplitude at the surface, which is the opposite of acoustic modes ([Unno et al. 1989](#)). The evanescent nature of g -modes in the convection zone adds to the complexity of the transition layer between radiation and convection and makes them difficult to observe at the solar surface ([Berthomieu & Provost 1990](#)). The current models suggest that g -modes have very low velocity amplitudes in the photospheric layers, smaller than 5 mm s^{-1} ([Kumar et al. 1996](#); [Belkacem et al. 2009](#)), which is much smaller than the maximal acoustic mode velocity amplitudes of 20 cm s^{-1} (e.g., [Kjeldsen & Bedding 1995](#)). So, the former modes are much more difficult to observe than the latter ones.

If g -modes provide a crucial tool to study the physical processes present in the solar core, they could also be used as a cosmological probe to validate the existence of dark matter inside the Sun ([Lopes & Silk 2012, 2010a,b](#); [Taoso et al. 2010](#); [Cumberbatch et al. 2010](#); [Turck-Chièze et al. 2012](#)), or in Sun-like stars ([Casanellas & Lopes 2013](#)) and neutron stars ([Kouvaris 2012](#); [Kouvaris & Tinyakov 2011a,b, 2010](#)).

This study is of particular interest because several solar neutrino detectors ([Abe et al. 2011](#); [Aharmim et al. 2013](#); [Bellini et al. 2010](#)) have been measuring 8B neutrino fluxes for a long time and new ones are scheduled. [Lopes \(2013\)](#) has already computed the impact of global acoustic and f-modes on the 8B neutrino flux but their

¹ Centro Multidisciplinar de Astrofísica, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal; lidio.lopes@ist.utl.pt; ilopes@uevora.pt

² Departamento de Física, Escola de Ciência e Tecnologia, Universidade de Évora, Colégio Luis António Verney, 7002-554 Évora, Portugal

³ CEA/IRFU/Service d'Astrophysique, CE Saclay, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France; sylvaine.turck-chieze@cea.fr




FIG. 1.— $(\delta T/T)_{ln}$ eigenfunctions for dipole $l = 1$ (top) and quadrupole (bottom) g -modes: $n = -1$ (red curve), $n = -2$ (blue curve), $n = -3$ (green curve), $n = -4$ (cyan curve) and $n = -5$ (magenta curve) deduced from the solar standard model. For convenience, all eigenfunctions are normalized to 0.5 which corresponds to twice the minimum of $(\delta T/T)_{1,-2}$. The radial 8B neutrino flux $\phi(r)$ is superimposed (yellow area and black contour).

effect in the core should be small as their amplitude is maximal at the surface except for the low-frequency part, which has a mixed character. In this Letter, we predict for the first time how the different standing gravity waves influence the 8B neutrino flux because these modes have the maximum of their amplitude near the center. Furthermore, we show which gravity oscillation modes will be easier to detect.

2. PERTURBATION OF THE TOTAL NEUTRINO FLUX

Any oscillation is regarded as a perturbation of the equilibrium which is expressed as a superposition of propagating waves, i.e. a combination of standing waves or eigenmodes of vibration. Any thermodynamic quantity, like the temperature T , is perturbed relative to its equilibrium value T_o , such that $T(r) = T_o(r) + (\delta T)_{ln}(r)$, where $(\delta T)_{ln}(r)$ denotes the temperature eigenfunction corresponding to the mode of degree l and order n . One denotes ω_{ln} and η_{ln} the related eigenfrequency and damping rate (Unno et al. 1989). The eigenfrequencies and eigenfunctions are computed numerically for the solar standard model (SSM in Table 1 from Lopes & Turck-Chièze 2013). This model is similar to others published in the literature (e.g., Turck-Chièze et al. 2010; Guzik & Mussack 2010; Serenelli et al. 2011), which use the nuclear reaction rates from the NACRE compilation (Angulo et al. 1999) and the solar mixture of Asplund et al. (2009). The structure model of the present Sun was computed using the stellar evolution code CESAM (Morel 1997) and the pulsation eigenmodes using the code adipls (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2008). Table 1 shows the

frequencies (freq.) of lower $|n|$ g -modes, including the values of the seismic model specifically calculated with a detailed description of the core and with a sound speed in agreement with the seismic observations (called SeSM in Table 1 from Mathur et al. 2007). An accurate calculation of g -mode frequencies and eigenfunctions require a precise determination of the central boundary conditions. In particular, we have reduced the size of the shells (increased the number of shells) in the central region to describe properly the first 5% of the solar radius. We have verified that the equations are properly solved near the center (particularly, near the singularities) and checked that the derivatives are computed correctly. We have also deduced the SeSM from the seismic observations, a sound speed profile in agreement with the one derived from observations to extract more precise neutrino fluxes and g -mode frequencies. The SeSM sound speed changes are mainly due to opacity. We follow the usual convention, in which n is negative in the case of the g -modes. Figure 1 shows some dipole g -mode eigenfunctions.

The propagation of gravity waves in the solar interior perturbs the local thermodynamic structure, triggering fluctuations in the energy generation rate ϵ and on the solar neutrino fluxes. The energy generation rate per mass unit and time (Kippenhahn & Weigert 1990) between two reactants is such that $\epsilon \propto \rho \langle \sigma v \rangle$, where ρ is the density and $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ is the average cross section. $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ contains the dependence of the temperature, T , such that $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim T^\mu$, where μ is the exponent. The nuclear reaction rate responsible for the production of 8B neutrinos, ${}^7Be(p, \gamma){}^8(e^+\nu){}^8B^*(\alpha){}^4He$ (e.g., Turck-Chièze & Couvidat 2011), is very sensitive to temperature. Therefore, any local perturbation in the plasma will change the production rate of the neutrino flux. By comparing the 8B neutrino fluxes of more than 1000 SSM computed inside the known uncertainties, Bahcall & Ulmer (1996) find that the 8B neutrino flux ϕ is proportional to T_c^{24} , where T_c is the temperature at the center of the Sun. This point has been also discussed in Turck-Chièze & Lopes (1993). Accordingly, we consider $\mu = 24$ and write that any oscillation mode will perturb the energy generation rate $\delta\epsilon$ by locally changing the thermodynamic quantities:

$$\frac{\delta\epsilon}{\epsilon} = \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} + \mu \frac{\delta T}{T}. \quad (1)$$

The perturbation of mass fraction of the reacting particles is negligible so we have not taken them into account. Even though ϵ is generated by ppI and ppII chains, we examine here the role of boron flux which corresponds to ppIII.

The total neutrino flux ϕ_{ln} , when perturbed by the eigenmode of vibration with frequency ω_{ln} , is expressed as

$$\phi_{ln} = \phi + \Delta\phi_{ln}(t) \quad (2)$$

where ϕ is the classic neutrino flux produced by the SSM (equilibrium model) and $\Delta\phi_{ln}(t)$ is the amount of neutrino flux varying with the eigenmode of vibration. As the neutrino flux ϕ produced in this nuclear reaction is proportional to the generated energy ϵ , it follows that $\delta\phi/\phi = \delta\epsilon/\epsilon$. By integrating Equation (1) for the total




FIG. 2.— Ψ_{ln} are gravito-neutrino eigenfunctions computed for the selected g -modes of Figure 1 with $\zeta_o = 1$ for dipole (top) and quadrupole (bottom) g -modes. Ψ_{ln} is chosen dimensionless.

mass of the star, $\Delta\phi_{ln}(t)$ reads

$$\Delta\phi_{ln}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{ln} \phi e^{-i\omega_{ln}t} e^{-\eta_{ln}t} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{ln} = \mathcal{A}_{ln} \mathcal{B}_{ln}$, \mathcal{A}_{ln} is an amplitude related to the excitation source of gravity waves and \mathcal{B}_{ln} is the fraction of the total neutrino flux perturbed by the eigenmode. \mathcal{B}_{ln} reads

$$\mathcal{B}_{ln} = \int_0^R \Psi_{ln}(r) dr \quad (4)$$

where Ψ_{ln} is the gravito-neutrino eigenfunction. It reads

$$\Psi_{ln} = C_\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta T}{T} \right)_{ln} \zeta \phi \rho 4\pi r^2 \quad (5)$$

where $C_\phi = \int \phi(r) 4\pi \rho(r) r^2 dr$ is a normalization constant, and $\zeta(r) = (\Gamma_3 - 1)^{-1} + \mu$, where $\Gamma_3 - 1 = (\partial T / \partial \rho)_s$ is the derivative being taken at constant specific entropy s . As the plasma could be considered as being completely ionized, $\Gamma_3 = 5/3$, it follows that $\zeta(r) \equiv \zeta_o = 3/2 + \mu$. If $\mu = 24$, accordingly $\zeta_o = 25.5$. This result shows that Ψ_{ln} is very sensitive to the temperature, although not all eigenmodes are equally affected.

In Figure 2, we show that Ψ_{ln} have non-null values only in the region where the 8B neutrino flux is produced. The form of the Ψ_{ln} depends on the location of the maximum of $(\delta T/T)_{ln}$ within the 8B neutrino production region. The largest amplitudes of the gravito-neutrino eigenfunction correspond to dipole g -modes of low n . Eigenmodes $(\delta T/T)_{ln}$ with large l or $|n|$ have a very small impact on the production of solar neutrinos and \mathcal{B} decreases rapidly with l (see Table 1 and Figure 3).




FIG. 3.— B_{ln} computed for g -modes of degree $l = 1, 2, 3$ with radial order $n = -1, \dots, -8$ as a function of the period of the mode ($|n|$ increases with the period). B_{ln} : The modes with the same degree are represented by the same symbol: $l = 1$ (red bullet), $l = 2$ (blue square), and $l = 3$ (green diamond). The dipole modes g_{-2} and g_{-3} have larger values due to a resonance effect.

TABLE 1
 8B NEUTRINO FLUX VARIATIONS
CAUSED BY g -MODES FOR A FLUCTUATION OF $|\frac{\delta T}{T}| = 0.001$.

Degree l	Order n	Freq. SSM	(μHz) SeSM	Period (hr)	B_{ln} (-)	$ \Delta\phi/\phi _{ln,\max}$ (%)
1	-1	254.7	262.7	1.1	2.3	5.8
-	-2	185.5	191.1	1.5	6.7	17.0
-	-3	148.6	153.2	1.9	4.8	12.2
-	-4	123.6	127.8	2.2	2.5	6.4
-	-5	105.6	109.3	2.6	1.1	2.8
2	-1	291.5	296.4	0.95	1.0	2.6
-	-2	250.3	256.1	1.1	1.5	3.8
-	-3	216.8	222.0	1.3	1.6	4.0
-	-4	188.6	194.1	1.5	1.7	4.3
-	-5	165.3	151.3	1.7	1.5	3.8
3	-1	333.6	340.1	0.83	1.6	4.1
-	-2	288.8	296.6	0.96	1.9	4.8
-	-3	255.9	261.3	1.1	1.0	2.6
-	-4	233.6	238.3	1.2	0.9	2.3
-	-5	211.2	217.1	1.3	1.5	3.8

3. ESTIMATED EFFECTS AND DISCUSSION

The variation of the 8B neutrino flux due to a given g -mode depends on the excitation source \mathcal{A}_{ln} and of the form factor \mathcal{B}_{ln} of each eigenfunction (Equation 4). If we consider a value of $\mathcal{A}_{ln} (\equiv |\delta T/T|_{ln,\max})$ of 10^{-3} , therefore, from Equation (3) for each eigenmode the maximum value of $\Delta\phi_{ln}(t)$ is such that $|\Delta\phi/\phi|_{ln,\max} = 25.5 |\mathcal{A}_{ln}| |\mathcal{B}_{ln}|$ (see Table 1). We found that the unique maximum of $(\delta T/T)_{ln}$ for the dipole mode g_{-2} occurs near the maximum of the 8B neutrino source (see Figure 1). From the proximity between these two maxima, a resonance occurs and $|\Delta\phi/\phi|_{ln,\max}$ varies by 17 %, which produces an amplification of 170 in comparison with the fluctuation of temperature for this specific mode. At least six other modes present amplitudes between 4 % and 12% for the same fluctuation of temperature.

Of course, the variability of the boron flux strongly depends on the central amplitude of the gravity modes. Up now, no boron flux variability has been shown, so one can deduce an upper estimate of temperature fluctuation. The Sudbury Neutrino Observatory team (Aharmim et al. 2010) has analyzed a time series of 8B neutrino fluxes to infer if any kind of periodic oscillation

could be found; they look for any period from hour to days. They conclude that no statistically significant periodic signal was detected and that the effect, if any, must be smaller than 10%. From Equation (3), it follows that $|\Delta\phi/\phi|_{ln} = 25.5 |A|_{ln} |B|_{ln,ssm}$, as current neutrino observations set an upper limit $|\Delta\phi/\phi|_{ln,max} = 0.10$, we determine that the maximum temperature fluctuation deduced from the g_{-2} dipole mode to be $|\delta T/T|_{ln,max} \leq 5.8 \times 10^{-4}$ as $|B_{1,-2}|_{ssm} = 6.7$ (see Table 1).

G -mode dipole velocity surface amplitudes have been estimated at the level of few millimetres per second (Belkacem et al. 2009), in reasonable agreement with the GOLF first manifestation of g -mode detection at the surface (Turck-Chièze et al. 2004). However, it is not so easy to properly estimate the amplitude of g -modes at the base of the convection zone or in the core. It is not even proven that these modes are only excited by the convection at the base of the convective zone, as they can also be excited by the opacity bump of hydrogen or helium (Cox & Guzik 2004). Nevertheless, the mechanisms by which turbulent convection excites and affects the propagation of gravity waves in the Sun has been extensively discussed in the literature (Kumar et al. 1996; Goldreich & Keeley 1977; Goldreich & Kumar 1991; Andersen 1996; Shibahashi et al. 1975). These turbulent motions at BCZ very likely excite gravity waves. Following Bahcall & Kumar (1993), $A_{core} \sim 10^{-7} V_{core}$ where the velocity of the mode V_{core} is in cm s^{-1} . This calculation leads to some temperature fluctuation A_{core} of the order of 10^{-5} , but this estimate could be pessimistic as their calculations underestimate the gravity mode velocity at the surface. Andersen (1996) found in numerical simulations that although the turbulent motions at the lower part of the convection zone produce g -modes of relatively large amplitude beneath the BCZ, due to the attenuation caused by the interaction of g -modes with the structure in the convection zone, the amplitudes of large l modes at the solar surface are quite small; turbulent motions with a $V_{bcz} \sim 50 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ produce g -modes of moderate degree with an amplitude velocity at the Sun's surface of the order of $0.01 - 5 \text{ mms}^{-1}$. They predict that g -modes of low degree should have surface amplitudes slightly larger and consequently observable, in agreement with García et al. (2007) detections. More recently, Miesch et al. (2012), based on magneto-hydrodynamics considerations estimate that the velocity of turbulent motions (V_{bcz}) at the base of the convection zone (BCZ) is of the order of 10 ms^{-1} and confirm them by numerical simulations. The three-dimensional (3D) simulations will be useful to estimate the temperature fluctuations but they are not yet sufficiently reliable to produce some relevant numbers for this study.

We orient attention toward 8B neutrino fluxes for the new generation of detectors and show the great interest to look in the core to better determine the gravity mode properties. It represents a complementary approach to helioseismology. Such a search could be also extend to the effect of gravity waves of lower frequencies and will benefit from the development of 3D simulations of the entire Sun. These non-standing waves describe a spiral in the radiative zone and lose their energy before reaching the core and thus could also impact the pp flux (Alvan et al. 2014). Improving the statistics of pp

flux detectors is also of high interest for precisely determining the solar energy production. The different approaches discussed here suggest that the core amplitude temperature perturbations must be found below 10^{-3} but above 10^{-5} ; therefore they will be detectable with the new generation of neutrino detectors like SNO+ and Hyperkamiokande.

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this Letter a new strategy for the search of g -modes inside the solar interior is proposed. Due to the high sensitivity of neutrino nuclear reactions to the temperature, the 8B neutrino flux time series is an ideal tool to probe the existence of g -modes inside the Sun. This 8B neutrino flux should vibrate with the same frequencies as the g -modes which produce local temperature changes. Thus, these time series should also contain information on the excitation and dumping of gravity waves in the solar core.

We found that only a few g -mode amplitudes are largely amplified in the 8B neutrino flux. Actually, g -modes with low degrees ($l \leq 3$) have the strongest impact on the 8B neutrino flux. For dipole g -modes (l with $n = -2$), the temperature perturbation is amplified by a factor of 170, practically a factor 10 greater than the normal sensitivity to the temperature of this neutrino flux. In fact, the maxima of the temperature eigenfunctions of the dipole modes with $n = -2$ and $n = -3$ are located near the maximum of the source of the 8B neutrino (see Figure 1). The present study orients the experimental search of g -modes on the period interval between 0.5 and 4hr, where the g -modes induce the strongest time variation on the 8B neutrino flux. The research will be particularly well adapted for the coming generation of neutrino detectors like SNO+ and Hyperkamiokande for which the statistics will be multiplied by 25. This progress on detection will be extremely useful to better constrain the neutrino properties, solar central temperature, some ingredients of the SSM, or even extra processes. As mentioned in this paper, this will also help to look for time variability of the boron neutrino flux, so that if this detection is positive, it will be determinant for exploring the very deep dynamics of the Sun.

Considering the analysis by Aharmim et al. (2010) of SNO time series of 8B neutrino flux, which concluded that no statistically significant periodic signal was detected, and fixing an upper limit of 10 % of potential variability of 8B neutrino flux, we put an upper limit of the fluctuations of the central solar temperature of 5×10^{-4} .

The possible discovery of g -modes in the 8B neutrino flux could revolutionize the field of solar physics. It will provide a new tool to probe the Sun's core with unprecedented details. At a minimum, such experimental analysis will put important constraints on the maximum amplitude of g -modes in the solar core. In addition, such study will put new constraints on the low-frequency part of the inertial gravity waves (periods smaller than four days) which are not detectable with seismology. This new quantified approach will be extended to other neutrino fluxes.

We thank the anonymous referee for constructive

remarks. This work was supported by grants from

”Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia” and ”Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian”.

REFERENCES

- Abe, K. et al., 2011, *Phys. Rev. D*, **83**, 52010
 Aharmim, B. et al., 2013, *Phys. Rev. C*, **87**, 15502
 Aharmim, B. et al., and SNO collaboration 2010, *ApJ*, **710**, 540
 Alvan, L., Brun, A.S. and Mathis, S., 2014, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **565**, A42
 Andersen, B. N. 1996, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **312**, 610
 Angulo, C. et al. 1999, *Nuclear Physics A*, **656**, 3
 Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **47**, 481
 Bahcall, J. N. & Kumar, P. 1993, *ApJ*, **409**, L73
 Bahcall, J. N. & Ulmer, A. 1996, *Phys. Rev. D (Particles)*, **53**, 4202
 Belkacem, K. et al. 2009, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **494**, 191
 Bellini G., Benziger J., Bonetti S., et al. 2010, *Phys. Rev. D*, **82**, 33006 *Phys. Rev. D*, **82**, 33006
 Berthomieu, G. & Provost, J. 1990, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **227**, 563
 Casanellas, J. & Lopes, I. 2013, *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, **765**, L21
 Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 2008, *Astrophys. & Space Sc.*, **316**, 113
 Cox, A. & Guzik, J. 2004, *ApJ*, **613**, L169
 Cumberbatch, D. T. et al. 2010, *Phys. Rev. D*, **82**, 103503
 2010PhRvD..82j3503C
 García, R. A., Turck-Chièze, S., Jiménez-Reyes, S. et al. 2007, *Science*, **316**, 1591
 Goldreich, P. & Keeley, D. A. 1977, *ApJ*, **212**, 243
 Goldreich, P. & Kumar, P. 1991, *ApJ*, **374**, 366
 Gonzalez-Garcia, M. C. & Maltoni, M. 2008, *Phys. Rep.*, **460**, 1
 Guzik, J. A. & Mussack, K. 2010, *ApJ*, **713**, 1108
 Kippenhahn, R., & Weigert, A. 1990, *Stellar Structure and Evolution*, XVI, Astronomy and Astrophysics Library, 468 pp. 192, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York.
 Kjeldsen, H. & Bedding, T. R. 1995, *A&A*, **293**, 293, 87
 Kouvaris, C. 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **108**, 191301
 Kouvaris, C. & Tinyakov, P. 2010, *Phys. Rev. D*, **82**, 63531
 —. 2011a, *Phys. Rev. D*, **83**, 083512
 —. 2011b, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **107**, 91301
 Kumar, P. & Quataert, E. J. 1997, *ApJ lett.*, **475**, L143
 Kumar, P., Quataert, E. J., & Bahcall, J. N. 1996, *ApJ lett.*, **458**, L83
 Lopes, I. & Silk, J. 2010a, *Science*, **330**, 462
 —. 2010b, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, **722**, L95
 —. 2012, *ApJ*, **752**, 129
 Lopes, I. 2013, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, **777**, L7
 Lopes, I. & Turck-Chièze, S. 2013, *ApJ*, **765**, 14
 Miesch, M. S. et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **757**, 128
 Mathis, S. et al. 2008, *Sol. Phys. &*, **251**, 101
 Mathur, S., Turck-Chièze, S., Couvidat, S., & García, R. A. 2007, *ApJ*, **668**, 594
 Morel, P. 1997, *A & A Supplement series*, **124**, 597
 Serenelli, A. M., Haxton, W. C., & Pena-Garay, C. 2011, *ApJ*, **743**, 24
 Shibahashi, H., Osaki, Y., & Unno, W. 1975, *Astronomical Society of Japan*, **27**, 401
 Talon, S., Kumar, P., & Zahn, J.-P. 2002, *ApJ*, **574**, L175
 Taoso, M. et al. 2010, *Phys. Rev. D*, **82**, 83509
 Turck-Chièze, S. & Couvidat, S. 2011, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **74**, 6901
 Turck-Chièze, S. & Lopes, I. 1993, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **408**, 347
 —. 2012, *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **12**, 1107
 Turck-Chièze, S. et al. 2004, *ApJ*, **604**, 455
 Turck-Chièze, S., Garcia R. A., Lopes I., et al. 2012, *ApJ lett.*, **746**, L 12
 Turck-Chièze, S., Palacios, A., Marques, J. P., & Nghiem, P. A. P. 2010, *ApJ*, **715**, 1539
 Unno, W., Osaki, Y., Ando, H., Saio, H., & Shibahashi, H. 1989, *Nonradial oscillations of stars*, Tokyo: Univ.Tokyo Press, 2nd ed.
 Zahn, J.-P., Talon, S., & Matias, J. 1997, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **322**, 320 *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **322**, 320